Health Scores in Finishing Pigs: Do not Forget to Stratify by Initial Body Weight

Grosse-Kleimann J¹, Wegner B², Spiekermeier I³, grosse Beilage E⁴, Kemper N², Nienhoff H³, Plate H⁵, Meyer H⁵, Gerhardy H⁶, Kreienbrock L¹

¹Department of Biometry, Epidemiology and Information, University for Veterinary Medicine, Hannover, Germany; ²Institute for Animal Hygiene, Animal Welfare and Farm Animal Behaviour, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Germany; ³Swine Health Service, Chamber of Agriculture in Lower Saxony, Oldenburg, Germany; ⁴Field Station for Epidemiology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Germany; ⁵VzF e.V., Association for Promoting Farming Economics, Uelzen, Germany; ⁶Marketing Service Gerhardy, Garbsen, Germany

Background

Animal welfare and health are current challenges in farming and veterinary practice. In the present study, which is carried out in the frame of the MulTiViS-project, seven health scores and one total score were developed [1].











They are based on semi-annual production data of German finishing pigs from July 2017 to June 2019 to describe pig health in a collective of 200 herds as preparation for a monitoring system.

As the initial body weight (IBW) at the beginning of the fattening period plays a decisive role for several production variables, the study examined how stratification by different IBW-classes could prevent bias.

Material and methods

Production data for health scores

- Performance data provided by agricultural advisory service
- Information on antibiotic usage
- Findings in slaughter pigs at meat inspection

Stratification by IBW

- Three different IBW-classes were defined:
 - 1. light (< 24 kg)
 - 2. medium (24 33,5 kg)
 - 3. heavy (> 33,5 kg)
- ANOVA F-tests were conducted to prove
- ω 00 0 0 10.0 0.0 2.5 12.5 5.0 7.5 Mortality [%] 0 00 000 0 700 1000 600 800 900 1100 Average daily gain [g] 0 0

significance of differences between classes

Table 1 Health scores and indicators with p-value of ANOVA F-test.

Score	Indicator	P-value F-test
MOR	mortality	<.0001
ADG	average daily gain	<.0001
FCR	feed conversion ratio	<.0001
TF	treatment frequency	0.0028
RESP (respiratory lesions)	pneumonia, pleurisy, pericarditis	<.0001
EXT (exterior lesions)	arthritis, abscess, ear lesions, tail lesions, dermal alterations, bursitis	0.3650
MANG (animal management)	liver milk spots, dermal damage, intestinal alteration, whole carcass condemnation	0.1004



Fig. 1 Boxplots of mortality, average daily gain, feed conversion ratio and treatment frequency between IBW classes. *Light grey* class 1, *medium grey* class 2, *dark grey* class 3, *UDD* used daily doses, *FP* finishing

pig place.

Results

- MOR was significantly higher and ADG was lower in class-1-herds (light piglets)
- Class-1-herds had fewer treatments with antibiotics and better FCR
- More lesions of respiratory tract were found in class-3-herds (heavy piglets)

Conclusion

- Production data are usable for health monitoring
- Stratification by IBW is necessary

References

[1] Grosse-Kleimann et al. Health Monitoring of Finishing Pigs by Secondary Data Use – A Longitudinal Analysis. PHM 2021.

SVEPM 2021, online, March 24-26