





# Identification of disease transmission pathways between Swiss pig premises using the Mental Models Approach

Francesco Galli<sup>1</sup>, Brian Friker<sup>1</sup>, Angela Bearth<sup>2</sup>, Salome Dürr<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Veterinary Public Health Institute, University of Bern; <sup>2</sup>Department of Health Sciences and Technology, ETH Zurich

## **Background**

- In Switzerland, the spread of pig infectious diseases represents a danger for the agricultural sector. The most concrete threats are African Swine Fever (ASF), Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome (PRRS) and Enzootic Pneumonia (EP).
- Livestock trade networks are often considered the most important disease spread mechanism. However, several latent contact pathways between premises are known to exist.
- Identifying latent contact pathways using farmers' knowledge may be challenging because of the sensitive nature of the information.

## Research aim

- Uncover contact pathways for disease transmission between pig farms
- Classify pathways by frequency of occurrence and by relevance for the spread of ASF, PRRS and EP

Challenge: Find the right method to gather farmers' knowledge

- Farmers might not remember
- Farmers might not want to reveal
- Questionnaire can only comprise known pathways
- → Mental Models Approach

# **The adapted Mental Models Approach**

Expert Mental Mo interviews Interview		Expert pool workshop	Gathering latent contact data	Inclusion into disease model		
Gather knowledge from pig health and production experts	Validate expert knowledge Explore farmers' attitudes	Final consensus on importance and frequency of each pathway	Data collection for relevant disease pathways	Add additional disease pathways to pig trade network		

# Expert pool assessment of disease pathways between Swiss pig farms for ASF, PRRS and EP

• •			. 5						
Area	Potential disease pathway	Relevance ASF	Relevance PRRS	Relevance EP					
	Transport from farm X to farm Y	9	9	9	Disease spread				
	Contact in the lorry: no barrier	4	6	6	likelihood				
	Contact in the lorry: let-through barrier	6	9	9	3- high	3	6	9	ב
Pig transport	Contact in the lorry: isolating barrier	1	2	2	o mgm		Ŭ		frequency
	Lorry contamination: traces from other pigs	6	6	6	2- medium	2	4	6	quenc
	Lorry is not washed at the slaughterhouse	2	3	3	1- low	1	2	3	က္လ
	Contamination via lorry driver	3	9	6	1 1011	'		3	
	Contamination via lorry tires	2	4	2		<del>-</del>	2	φ	
	Sharing of boars	2	3	3		low	me	high	
	Transport done by farmer self	4	6	6		_	medium	<b>5</b>	
Farmer encounters	Farmer encounters on the premises	1	2	2			3		
	Farmer encounters outside the premises	3	3	3					
	Sharing of pig farming tools	2	3	2	Relevance				
	Sharing of other farming tools	3	6	3	Vorylow				
	Going to the carcass collection point	3	6	3	Very low				
	Manure trade between farms	3	6	3	Low				
External collaborators	Collection of carcasses on the premises	6	6	3	M .: .				
	Veterinarians	2	4	4	Medium low			low	
	Feed advisors	3	6	6	Medium high			high	
	Other official farm visits	3	6	3					J
Environment / other	External visitors	1	2	1			Hig	h	
	Pets (cats, dogs)	1	2	1	Very high			1	
	Wild boar	4	6	6		vory mgm			
	Other wild animals	2	4	2					

## What we learned

- The Mental Models Approach proved to be an effective tool to gather sensitive information from pig farmers in a short time frame.
- Twenty-four contact pathways were revealed, highlighting the potentially high risk of disease transmission between pig premises.
- Disease models for the spread of ASF may solely focus on pig transport-related pathways, while for EP, and more importantly for PRRS, not considering further disease pathways will result in an underestimation of the disease spread potential.

### Reference

Risk communication: a mental models approach. M. Granger Morgan *et al.*, 2001, Cambridge University Press

### Contact

Francesco Galli, MSc Epi, PhD candidate francesco.galli@vetsuisse.unibe.ch