

Antimicrobial resistance in *E. coli* isolated from horses



Nneka E. A. Hull James¹, James Gibbons², Locksley L. McV. Messam³, Ana P. Vale³

School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin, Ireland¹; Irish Equine Centre, Ireland²; School of Veterinary Medicine, University College Dublin, Ireland³

BACKGROUND:

- Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a critical threat to human, animal and environmental health
- Resistant bacteria from horses can be shed into the environment or transmitted directly to humans
- Baseline information on AMR patterns of *E. coli* in horses is necessary to evaluate the risk posed by AMR

AIMS:

- To estimate and compare prevalence of resistance in *E. coli* from horses by sample origin to selected antimicrobials of critical importance.
- To estimate and compare prevalence of a) extensive drug-resistance (XDR) and b) multidrug-resistance (MDR) in *E. coli* from horses by sample origin.

METHODS:

- **Data:** 1068 *E. coli* isolated from clinical samples submitted to the Irish Equine Centre (2017-2018)
- Sample origin distribution: 498- reproductive, 391-faecal, 179-other*
- Cross sectional study: Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed against 11 individual antimicrobials representing 6 antimicrobial classes
- Data management: De-duplication (SPSS) using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines which exclude samples of the same animal, year, origin and bacterium
- Intermediate level of resistance was classified as resistant
- XDR resistance to members of 5-6 antimicrobial classes
- MDR resistance to members of 3 or more antimicrobial classes

Antimicrobial resistance in *E. coli* isolated from horses (2017-2018) Resistance to $3^0 - 4^0$ generation cephalosporins and Extensive drug-resistance (XDR) and multidrug-resistance (MDR) fluoroquinolones by sample origin by sample origin Sample origin (resistance type) P (95% CI) Sample origin (antimicrobial) P (95% CI) 10.2% (7.8-12.9%) Reproductive (XDR) Reproductive (3^o - 4^o generation cephalosporins) \longrightarrow 9.4% (6.9-12.0%) Reproductive (MDR) 29.3% (25.3-33.3%) 7.0% (4.8-9.3%) Reproductive (fluroquinolones) -Faecal (XDR) 24% (19.8-28.3%) Faecal (3⁰ - 4⁰ generation cephalosporins) 19.9% (16.0-23.9%) Faecal (fluroquinolones) Faecal (MDR) 42.5% (36.5-47.4%) 21.7% (17.6-25.9%) Other* (3⁰ - 4⁰ generation cephalosporins) 30.7% (23.9-37.6%) 24.6% (18.2-30.9%) Other* (XDR) Other* (fluroquinolones) 50.3% (42.9-57.7%) 27.4% (20.8-33.9%) Other* (MDR) 30 20 40 60 **Prevalence of resistance (P %) Prevalence of resistance (P %)** *Other - samples of integumentary, urinary, musculoskeletal and respiratory origin

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS:

Higher levels of multidrug-resistance and resistance to critically important antimicrobials were observed in *E. coli* from samples of integumentary, urinary, musculoskeletal and respiratory origin. This may be the result of:

- Distinct populations of *E.coli* present at each body site with resistance patterns particular to each population
- Increased likelihood of antimicrobial treatment prior to sampling compared to samples of faecal or reproductive origin
- Greater likelihood of veterinary hospital origin where resistance is observed more frequently

This study provides baseline data which may inform clinicians and aid in the development of future antimicrobial stewardship programmes.