

vetsuisse-fakultät



**PUBLIC • HEALTH** 

# Multivariate syndromic surveillance system for cattle diseases in Switzerland: Epidemic simulation and algorithm performance evaluation

### C Faverjon<sup>1\*</sup>, LP Carmo<sup>1</sup>, J Berezowski<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> Veterinary Public Health Institute, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Liebefeld, Switzerland

# BACKGROUND

Syndromic surveillance systems (SyS) should be multivariate, because multiple data sources contain more information about a population. Numerous methods have been proposed for multivariate SyS but the number of such systems that are operational in

### Objective

Evaluate a multivariate SyS system for cattle diseases in Switzerland using realistic simulated multivariate epidemics

### of 4 cattle diseases.

# **MATERIAL & METHODS**

- **12 weekly syndrome time series** extracted from 2 national databases
  - Swiss Animal Movement Databases (AMD).
  - Database owned by the Association of Swiss Cattle Breeders (ASR).





- Training dataset: for years 2014-2015.
- Test multiple algorithm parameters. Optimal parameter = parameter maximizing sensitivity and specificity.
- Optimal alarm threshold defined for a false positive alarm rate < 5% (UCL5%).



#### \*detects only an increase in the number of cases

- **Detection performance assessed using sensitivity, specificity and** timeliness

#### Test dataset 1 = simulated data $\checkmark$

- **300 epidemic-free baselines** were simulated for each syndrome using model predictions obtained from Holt–Winters generalized exponential smoothing on the training dataset.
- 300 multivariate epidemics were simulated for 4 cattle diseases: Bovine Virus Diarrhea (BVD), Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR), Bluetongue virus (BTV), Schmallenberg virus (SV). Simulations were based on expert opinion:

Step 1. Estimate the total	Step 2. Estimate the	Step 3. Estimate the	Step 4. Estimate the
number of new infections for	expected proportion of	proportion of infected adult	proportion of adult cattle
each disease at each time step	new calf and adult cattle	cattle and calves that are	and calves that are expected
in the Swiss cattle population	infections at each time step	expected to show clinical signs	to be recorded in the data.

#### Simulated epidemics were randomly inserted in the simulated epidemic-free baselines.

#### Test dataset 2 = real data from 2016 and 2017 $\checkmark$

## **RESULTS - Simulated Data**

### **Real Data**

#### **Optimum algorithms parameters and alarm thresholds**



- **MEWMA**  $\lambda = 0.3$ , UCL5% = 29.5
- **MCUSUM** k = 0.5, UCL5% = 6.5

#### Algorithm comparison

- More than 97% of the simulated epidemic were detected.
- Positive predictive value range: 87 95%.
- Time to detection: 8.6 weeks for MEWMA, 14.6 weeks for MCUSUM.



#### **Disease comparison**

- IBR epidemics easier to detect: shorter timeliness, higher weekly sensitivity.
- SV epidemics detected 1 to 3 weeks later than IBR.
- BTV and BVD epidemics were the most difficult to detect: longer time to detection, lower weekly sensitivity.





Fig1: Exemple of one simulated multivariate epidemic of BVD (left graph), and corresponding outome of the two directional multivariate control charts (right graph).





UNIVERSITÄT



Fig2: real data from 2016 to 2017 (left graph) and ouctomes of the two directional multivariate control charts (right graph). The color of the alarms raised by the two algorithms correspond to the peaks identified in the real data at the same time period.

#### **True positive alarms**

• The two algorithms raised alarms that were linked with peaks observed in the raw data (see alarms and peaks  $\Box, \Box$  and  $\Box$ ) and with modifications of the ASR and AMD databases.

#### **False alarms?**

• Some peaks observed in the data only lead to an alarm in one of the two algorithms (see and ).

# CONCLUSION

### First report of directionally sensitive multivariate control charts being evaluated for animal health surveillance!

- Advantages: method is easy to implement, and results are easy to interpret
- Disadvantage: not possible to identify which TS contributed the most to the alarms

#### **Comparison to the current Swiss early detection system is complicated...**

- Little information available about epidemics of these diseases in the country
- Based on expert opinion, we estimated 9 months to be the maximum time needed, on average, to identify an epidemic of IBR, BVD, BTV or SV in Switzerland with the current Swiss active surveillance systems

#### **MEWMA outperformed MCUSUM in terms of detection timeliness**

- Other authors<sup>1</sup> have suggested that the MEWMA should be selected over the MCUSUM because it is easier to develop an intuitive appreciation for how to choose  $\lambda$  than k
- Our results suggest that MEWMA is more robust than MCUSUM to the so-called inertia problem found in all control charts

### Simulating multivariate epidemics using expert opinion; is this the way to go?

- Expert opinion is a cost-effective approach for simulating realistic multivariate epidemics but it has some limitations (e.g., results are not generalizable to epidemics in other countries)
- Epidemiological models could be used, but they are costly in terms of resources and data, which limit their use. For example, there was no information available to develop compartmental models for the 4 diseases considered in this study for Switzerland

#### **References:**

- 1. Fricker, R.D., 2007. Directionally Sensitive Multivariate Statistical Process Control Methods. Adv. Dis. Surveill. 3, 1–17
- 2. Joner M.D., Woodall W.H., Reynolds M.R., F.R.D., 2008. A One-sided MEWMA Chart for Health Surveillance. Qual. Reliab. Eng. Int. 24, 503–518



Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the Swiss Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office (FSVO) for funding this project and providing the AMD data, and the Association of Swiss Cattle Breeder, for providing the ASR data. We would also like to thank the experts who freely gave their time and experience as a contribution to this work.