

Utrecht University



Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Departement of Farm Animal Health



Limited effects of fecal microbiota transfer on the fecal microbiome composition of laying hens

Janneke Schreuder¹ | Francisca C. Velkers¹ | Ruth J. Bouwstra² | Nancy Beerens³ | J. Arjan Stegeman¹ | Fred W. de Boer⁴ | Armin R.W. Elbers³ | Pim van Hooft⁴ | Stephanie D. Jurburg^{3, 5}

¹ Department of Farm Animal Health, Utrecht University, The Netherlands ² GD Animal Health, Deventer, The Netherlands

³ Wageningen Bioveterinary Research, Lelystad, The Netherlands ⁴ Resource Ecology Group, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands

⁵ German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Germany

Introduction

Aim

Experimental procedures

- Wild birds (e.g. wild ducks) can transfer pathogens, like avian influenza, to laying hens via their feces.
- Laying hens with free range access are at greater risk of infection.
- If transfer of fecal microbiota from wild ducks can be detected in laying hens, this could be a useful indicator of exposure of laying hens to feces of wild ducks.

The aim of this study was to determine if gut microbiota from wild ducks can be transmitted to the gut of laying hens with fecal microbiota transfer (FMT).

Groups

Three groups were used with 18 laying hens each.

1) Negative control – no FMT

- 2) Auto inoculated FMT with own chicken feces
- 3) Duck inoculated FMT with wild duck feces

- Inoculation on day 0
- Cloacal swabs days 0, 2 and 7

Analyses

The microbiota composition of the cloacal samples of 7 animals per treatment was assessed using 16S rRNA sequencing and analyzed with R 3.5.1 (R Core Team 2018) and the vegan package.



Observed species diversity. There is an increase of alpha diversity in auto inoculated group on day 2, and in the control group on day 7. A significant difference is found on day 7 between the control and duck inoculated group.

PCoA on Bray-Curtis distances: PERMANOVA



Bacterial community structure shown in a Bray-Curtis PCoA plot grouped per timepoint. PERMANOVAs show no significant results across treatments on day 2 or day 7.

Observed species diversity: ANOVA



Relative abundances of ten most abundant phyla shown per treatment and timepoint.

The inocula compromised mainly the phyla *Firmicutes* (Inoduck 49.2%; Inochicken 40.0%) and *Bacteroidetes* (Inoduck 27.6%; Inochicken 45.5%). In the swabs of the hens *Firmicutes* (66.4%) was dominant across all samples. On day 2 the abundance of *Bacteroidetes* is higher in the duck and auto inoculated groups compared to the control group (p =0.028 and p = 0.014 respectively).



Ternary plot 0.5% most abundant taxa: Kruskal-Wallis



Ternary plot of treatments per

timepoint. Circle size indicates the relative abundance found for that genus in the three groups. On day 2 genus *Alistipes* is higher in duck and auto treatments compared to the control (p = 0.009). On day 7, no difference was found in *Alistipes*. On day 7 the *Enterococcus* genus is more abundant in the duck inoculated group compared to the control and auto group. However the *Enterococcus* genus is not present in the duck inoculum.

Conclusion

- 1. FMT with duck feces had no distinguishable effect on the fecal microbial community of laying hens compared to the control and auto treatments.
- 2. A significantly higher relative abundance of the genus Alistipes (Phylum Bacteroidetes) in the inoculated laying hens was found compared to the control group on day 2, but not on day 7. This suggests that the detected increase of Alistipes may be an effect of temporal fluctuation or of the inoculation procedure itself.