

# Prevalence and risk factors for *Coxiella burnetii* infection in sheep, goats & farm workers in Ontario, Canada

S. Meadows<sup>1</sup>, A. Jones-Bitton<sup>1</sup>, P. Menzies<sup>1</sup>, S. McEwen<sup>1</sup>, J. Jansen<sup>2</sup>, C. Filejski<sup>3</sup>, S. Patel<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department Population Medicine, University of Guelph, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Veterinary Science and Policy, Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Ontario Ministry of Health & Long Term Care, Canada <sup>4</sup>Public Health Ontario, Canada

## Introduction:

- Q fever: zoonotic disease caused by *Coxiella burnetii*, commonly associated with sheep, goats and cattle
- In small ruminants, *C. burnetii* causes abortion, stillbirth and neonatal mortality
- In humans, most infections (60%) are asymptomatic, but flu-like illness, pneumonia, hepatitis, endocarditis, and miscarriages also occur commonly
- An outbreak in the Netherlands (2007-2010)<sup>1</sup> demonstrated the public health and economic significance of *C. burnetii* - it resulted in ~4000 human cases, mass animal culling, and estimated control costs of 50 million Euros<sup>2</sup>
- Due to the public health and economic consequences of infection, it is important to determine the prevalence and risk factors for exposure to *C. burnetii* in small ruminants and their farm workers in Ontario

## Objectives:

- To determine the seroprevalence of *C. burnetii* exposure in sheep, goats and their farm workers in Ontario, Canada
- To examine specific risk factors for *C. burnetii* exposure in sheep, goats and their farm workers

## Materials and Methods:



- Cross-sectional serological survey
- Farms sampled: 42 dairy goat, 34 meat goat, 50 meat sheep, 22 dairy sheep (148 farms total)
- Randomly blood sampled ~35 females that kidded / lambled in the previous 12 months, per farm
- Farm workers were invited to provide a blood sample
- Animal serum testing: CHEKIT ELISA (IDEXX)
- Human serum testing: IFA (Focus Diagnostics)
- Separate questionnaires completed on each farm to collect data on human and farm management factors possibly associated with *C. burnetii* exposure
- Multivariable logistic regression models, with random effects for farm to account for clustering, separately built for sheep, goats, and farm workers (Stata 10.1)

## References:

- Roest *et al* (2011). *Epidemiology & Infection* 139; 1-12.
- Bruschke & Emeka (2012). *Proceedings of 15<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Infectious Disease, Bangkok, Thailand.*

## Discussion and Conclusions:

- Exposure to *C. burnetii* among Ontario sheep, goats and their farm workers was common
- Better understanding of the risk factors and protective measures associated with *C. burnetii* exposure
- Provides support for the development and validation of control protocols, particularly disinfection
- Results used to provide better access of the animal *Coxiella* vaccine to sheep and goat producers in Ontario
- Results will be used to direct knowledge translation and transfer to producers, veterinarians and physicians

## Results:

### Sheep Serology

	Individual seroprevalence (%) (positive sheep/sheep tested)	Farm-level seroprevalence (%) (positive farms/farms tested)	Average herd size (±SD)
Meat Sheep	10.2 (166/1619)	42 (21/50)	194 (±172)
Dairy Sheep	24.3 (181/744)	63.6 (14/22)	116 (±94)

\*Classification of positive farm: ≥1 animal positive

### Goat Serology

	Individual seroprevalence (%) (positive goats/goats tested)	Farm-level seroprevalence (%) (positive farms/farms tested)	Average herd size (±SD)
Meat Goats	10.8 (81/748)	44.1 (15/34)	56 (±61)
Dairy Goats	43.7 (633/1447)	78.6 (33/42)	304 (±307)

\*Classification of positive farm: ≥1 animal positive

### Human Serology

- Farm-level human seroprevalence = **78.7%** (59/75)
- Individual human seroprevalence = **67.4%** (116/172)

Table 1. Distribution of human serology titres

Phase II Antibody Titres	Phase I Antibody Titres						TOTAL
	< 1:16	1:16	1:32	1:64	1:128	≥1:256	
< 1:16	56	8	3	1	0	0	68
1:16	5	7	4	1	0	0	17
1:32	1	11	10	7	2	1	32
1:64	0	1	3	11	5	1	21
1:128	0	0	0	1	12	6	19
≥ 1:256	0	0	0	4	0	11	15
TOTAL	62	27	20	25	19	19	172

Negative: 34.4% (56/172)

(Phase II > Phase I): 15.1% Acute (26/172)

(Phase I ≥ Phase II): 52.3% Chronic (90/172)

### Farm Worker Risk Factors

Table 2. Multivariable mixed logistic model for sheep and goat farm worker sero-status, with random effect for farm (n=172)

Variable	OR	p
<b>Fixed effect parameters</b>		
Small ruminant sector (LRT $\chi^2=11.48$ , p=0.022)	Referent	-
- Dairy goats	0.04	0.015
- Dairy sheep	0.09	0.018
- Meat goats	0.30	0.180
- Meat sheep	0.29	0.540
- Multiple <sup>b</sup>	1.044	0.003
% of sheep and/or goats seropositive	4.03	0.07
Ever smoked tobacco <sup>a</sup>	1.456	0.004
<b>Random effect parameters</b>		
Farm Standard deviation	Estimate	p
	1.456	0.004

Random effect explains 42.7% of variation in the model  
LRT=Likelihood ratio test, OR= Odds ratio

<sup>a</sup> Included as a confounder

<sup>b</sup> Farms had animals in more than 1 category

## Sheep Risk Factors

Table 3. Multivariable mixed logistic model for sheep sero-status, with random effect for farm (n=2363)

Variable	OR	p
<b>Fixed Effect Parameters</b>		
Lambing pen hygiene practice: (LRT $\chi^2=11.71$ , p=0.0084)	Referent	-
- Add bedding, remove birthing materials, disinfect	8.96	0.002
- Add bedding & remove birth materials	5.94	0.038
- Add bedding only	0.97	0.986
- Do nothing		
Lambing ewes in separate airspace from flock (LRT $\chi^2=11.77$ , p=0.0082)		
- Always	2.08	0.362
- Sometimes	11.26	<0.0001
- Not applicable (do not lamb indoors)	0.44	0.614
- Never	Referent	-
Loaning sheep that returned to farm	8.14	0.006
Female flock size (log <sub>10</sub> scale)	3.24	<0.0001
<b>Random effect parameters</b>		
Farm	Standard deviation	Estimate
	1.59	<0.0001
<b>Random effect explains 43.3% of the variation in the sheep model</b>		

LRT=Likelihood ratio test, OR= Odds ratio

## Goat Risk Factors

Table 4. Multivariable mixed logistic model for goat sero-status, with random effect for farm (n=2195)

Variable	OR	p
<b>Fixed effect parameters</b>		
Kidding pen hygiene practice: (LRT $\chi^2=11.50$ , P-value =0.0093)	Referent	-
- Add bedding, remove birthing materials, disinfect	19.30	0.041
- Add bedding & remove birth materials	4.65	0.298
- Add bedding only	160.97	0.018
- Do nothing		
Kidding in airspace separate from rest of herd	14.33	0.011
Female herd size (log <sub>10</sub> scale)	13.66	<0.0001
Male herd size (log <sub>10</sub> scale)	0.16	0.001
Kidding outdoors	0.01	0.001
Pigs on farm	3.42	0.181
Interaction: kidding outdoors * pigs on farm	29.41	0.035
Other sheep or goat farms within 5km	5.59	0.048
Quarantining new animals <sup>a</sup>	0.23	0.062
Kidding in summer season <sup>a</sup>	0.96	0.963
Purchasing animals from sales barn <sup>a</sup>	1.62	0.625
Disposing of placenta in manure pile <sup>a</sup>	0.22	0.165
<b>Random effect parameters</b>		
Farm	Estimate	p
	1.13	<0.0001
<b>Random effect explains 27.9% of the variation in the goat model</b>		

<sup>a</sup> Included as confounder, LRT=Likelihood ratio test, OR= Odds ratio

## Acknowledgements:

