# The Emergence of Skin Diseases in Trout

Centre for Environment Fisheries & Aquaculture Science Edmund Peeler, Stephen Feist, Mark Thrush, David Ryder, Jonathan Hulland and Jason Mewett Cefas, Barrack Road, The Nothe, Weymouth , Dorset, DT4 8UB

## Background

Skin diseases have emerged in salmonid aquaculture in recent years. Red mark syndrome (RMS) was first identified in farmed rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum) in Scotland in 2003 (Verner-Jeffreys et al. 2008) and shares similarities with another condition affecting rainbow trout: strawberry disease (SD). Both diseases probably have an infectious aetiology, but no agent has been definitively identified.

In 2002 a new skin condition in farmed rainbow trout in England was recognised: puffy skin disease (PSD). Rate of spread to new sites was low until 2006 when cases increased substantially.

## Objectives

- Establish the farm level prevalence and geographic distribution of PSD in trout farms in England and Wales.
- Investigate the association of PSD with live fish movements and with other skin conditions.





Figure 1. Severe puffy skin disease showing raised scales.



Figure 2. Section through a region of PSD affected skin of rainbow trout: hyperplastic epithelium with spongioform appearance. Some areas show evidence of erosion. Dermis and underlying musculature appear normal (H&E. Bar = 0.5mm).

• Skin on the flank shows excessive mucous and dermal hyperplasia, progressing to raised scales and skin.

• Affected fish may become inappetant and emaciated.

• Economic loss due to culling and downgrading of carcasses at slaughter.

### Methods

• Questionnaire administered by Cefas fish health inspectors in face to face interviews with farmers from October 2012 to April 2013.

## Results

- The questionnaire was completed on 131 farms, a response rate of 91%.
- 49 sites reported having seen the disease on their sites (37% of sites with rainbow trout). Affected farms were in 28, of 44 river catchments included in the study. No obvious geographic clustering of farms was apparent.
- All aquaculture production sites holding rainbow were included in the study.
- Additional site information was extracted from the Live Fish Movement Database
- The farmers were shown photographs of the condition and descriptions of all three skin conditions.



• RMS and SD had been observed on 61 (47%) and 29 (22%) farms, respectively.

Table 1. Associations between skins conditions and introduction of live rainbow trout onto site in the previous 12 months (n=131).

Outcome	Exposure	Chi Squared	Р	Odds Ratio
PSD	Live RBT introduction	8.3	<0.01	5.3
RMS		12.2	<0.01	6.2
SD		4.6	0.03	4.6

### Table 2. Associations between the occurrence of the three skins conditions (n=131).

Outcome	Exposure	Chi Squared	Р	Odds Ratio
PSD	RMS	31.8	<0.001	9.7
PSD	SD	12.5	<0.001	4.6
RMS	SD	40.1	<0.001	36.1

RBT = rainbow trout, PSD = puffy skin disease, RMS = red mark syndrome, SD = strawberry disease.

Figure 3. Number of new observations of puffy skin disease (n = 44), strawberry disease (n = 27) and red mark syndrome (n = 59) per year plotted as a percentage of all cases.

All three conditions are strongly associated with live fish introductions

The pattern of mergence over time is similar for all three conditions

## Conclusion

- Live fish movements indicate an infectious aetiology for all 3 conditions.
- Strong association between SD and RMS and similar histopathology suggests the same infectious aetiology.
- The histopathology of PSD suggests involvement of a different agent.
- Association between conditions due to:
  - confounding
  - one condition predisposing to another

- Future On-farm longitudinal studies should:
  - consider all skin conditions and
- investigate environmental (e.g. stocking density and water quality) and host factors (e.g. triploidy)
  to support improved disease control.

### There are strong associations between the three conditions

### References

Verner-Jeffreys et al., 2008. Emergence of cold water strawberry disease of rainbow trout *Oncorynchus mykiss* in England and Wales: Outbreak investigations and transmission studies. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 79, 207-218.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Mrs Wendy Friendship for managing the data collection and entry, David Bassett (British Trout Association) for his support and the farmers who freely gave their time and views.

The authors acknowledge support from the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) under contracts FB001 & 2.